An Ossay The Science of Medicine Respectfully Submitted To the Faculty of the Hormoespathie Medical College Tifteenth day of Clannary One thousand, eight hundred & fifty thee, A. W. Marse Materville N. York,

Medicine as a Voience.

Defore commencing upon this theme, we will inquire, what is a Science? By examining standard authority we find a desince to be "a collection of the general principles or leading truths, relating to any subject, arranged in systematic order," Overy science is founded whon its general truths or laws, and these being natural laws, whatever may be the date of their discovery, were pre-- existant.

What then is the Science of Medicine? It is that system of knowledge based upon laws, relative to the cure of discusse; or those laws, by the application of which, the animal system may be restored from an abnormal to its mormal state.

The practice of Medicine is of my ancient date. As in the larlier age of the world, disease presented itself among the human family; so the more theorising minds en-- deavored to explain its various canoes, and the proper made of its exterpation. Immerable theories were advanced, the author of each endeavoring to illumine the reflec-- tive world, by the productions of his vigilent cogitations.

But more were allowed long to astonish their wondering disciples, before
others would be presented, if anything
more hypothetical and visionary than
the former. The imaginative minds
of the medical aspirants were far
more productive of hypotheses, than
of them.

But although much error has been imbibed from the various affeculations, yet occasionally have rays of truth downed whom us, and within the present-century have very rapidly increased to dissipate the darkness of the past.

But omitting farther details in segard to the former state of the Medical Profession, they at present we

divided into two general classes, of Old School, or Allafrathists, and New School, or Hameapathists, and although perfectly adverse in their theory of practice, get each claiming to be the sustainers of the true Science of Medicine. The boasted Antiquarian School essey to oure disease by the primary effect of the medicine which they administer; consequently giving such as is of a nature which tends to produce a state opposite to that of the disease itself. They profess to adopt, as the foundation of their system, the law expressed by the Johrane Contraria Contrarios Curantas." But may this properly be called a law? Is it in fact a law of notice, that disease may be cured by medicines

possessing a nature tending to produce a state apposite to that of the disease? We think not. The they act as palliatives, but that only for a short time Nature always receives a secondary as well as primary effect from the administration of medicines, and which is directly the opposite. Or the primary effect we may call the direct effect of the medicine, and the secondary, the reaction of the system. Of the truth of this assertion we see almost daily evidence. How often do me hear pratients complaining of chronic constitution, and by tracing back to the cause we find it to be from taking laxatives for the same disease, the immediate effect of which was, to produce an unnatural diarrhea, but the secondary

and permanent effect of which was to cause nature to react and aggravate the very disease they intended it to cure; and by a few repetitions of this treatment they bring whom themselves constipation of an abstinate form. Faking purgatives for derangement of stomach and bowels, is another instance in proof of this point. By wacuating them in this monner, nature is merely excited to a more abundant secretion of the unhealthy matter they wished to remove. Consequently in a short time a refretition of the same kind of "regulator of the stomach and bowels" is necessary, and they thereby make a thoroughfare of the digestive organs, through which they send their cathartics an their errands of mercy to regulate

the system into a perfect-state of irregularity. Various instances might be produced, as Dyspepsia, Chronic Sastritio, Intermit= tant there, Nervous Debility, &c. Even in the common external applications may we see our assertion proved true. When your hands are cold, if you plunge then into anow for a moment, what is the effect? It first the cold is increased, but in a few moments they are uncomfortably warm, Also the sudden avld bath will produce reaction which will cause increase of temperature and perspiration. But we will met emmerate farther eases as these are sufficient to prove our positions Ohen what can we admit their fun--damental principle Contraria Contrario

Curantur", to be, but a fundamental false chard ? This being the case, and it being also the basis of their theory of practice, how then can we apply to it the appellation of Science? But may we find anything more worthy of attention in the New School? They have inscribed on their bannero Gimilia Similibus Curantur". They practice under the law that the specific of any disease is that medicine which will produce a similar disease in the healthy subject? This law was first discovered by Samuel Hahnemann, a native of Sayony, about sixty years ago. Arom its nature all medicines have to be proven or their properties tested an the healthy subject, before they

can be administered to the sick. Hahremann saw the necessity of this, and although the task would be long and painful, yet resolved to be, himself a subject of experiment, and also secured the aid of many of his friends, of dif--ferent ages and sexes. And here is one marked point of difference between the two schools. The Allafathic Materia Medica is formed of knowledge abtained at the bedaide of the patient. Consequently they came not have as definite and ferfect a knowle -edge of the properties of their medicines and that entirely disconnected with effects of disease. But the Homenfrothic Materia Medica is formed from knowledge gained from their action whom those previously in a state of health; the symptoms

presented, are therefore known to be those of the medicine and most of the disease.

If the practice of Allahalty is based whom principles truly scientific, it ought to be ready on the afferough of my new disease, to paint out at once the proper semedies to meet such disease, and to combat it successfully. The symptoms presented, once being known, it ought to be able to prointdirectly to the required remedy, without first being obliged to experiment for a long time at the expense of its patients. Harriestalky, we wenture to say, can do this, and here is a second great lifference between the two schools. Lang before Mahnemann ever sun a case of Asiatic Cholera, he printed out the

Specific remedies for it in its different forms and stages, which have since proved true, and are get need with astonishing success. But how was this disease met by the Allohathie Profession? With fear and brembling. For a long time they were smable to treat it with any thing like success. The following Cholera Statistics, we gerote from Dr. Donglass' excellent work on Homespatty. "Dr. Mabil" (an eminent-physician in France) "has calculated the result of the two systems of treatment of Cholina in different places. The general result is as follows, Treated Allapathically 405,027 234,788 Monred 240,289 Died

giving a percentage of 49 deaths out of every 10t. Freated Honespathically 2239 Died 2069 giving 1/2 as the Jureentage of deaths. It is officially announced by a commission appointed for that purpose, that in Vienna, under Allofuthic treatment, two thirds of the fratients died, while under Hamesfathie, two thirds recov -cred. The Brussian State Gasette arrounces, that within a certain district, Homenfully cured 86 out of 109, Allofothy 60 out of 199, while nature without a physician, cured 16 ant of 49. That is, Home halt, cured 80 per cent, Allofathy 30, and Nature 32. The Cholera broke and with great violence in

Raab in Hungary. The result in all the cases was; and by Howerfathy 97 per cent, by Allofathy 563 per cent." The treatment of Cholera in our non country has been parallel to the above. These facto we think quite conclusive, mot only that Momentathy is capable of meeting new diseases with far better. success than Allofathy, but that the assertion made by many of its offioments, that "it ineffectual in affente diseases", is very far from being true. Any time Science will ben inapection. Although lested in its various claims, it will withstand the scruting of the critic. Homeofalty will do this. It is able, not only to meet and withstand Cholera, but any of the acute diseases with which we meet, and that with

as lowishing success, when compared with the revered old Acience of Calomel and the Spancet. For abundant proof of this, compare motes from the diaries of the first physicians of the day, of both Schools, in both Europe and America. And to any who are get on believing, rould we say, go to the city hospitals. See the abundant warriety of cases presen ted there; watch the progress of disease; see the effect produced by the different forms of medication; compare the rate per sent of cures; then answer; is Homenfally a humby? Another point of differing is in the mature of the medicine given in any particular disease, and its modus operandi. Take again a case of consti - pation. The Allofathist would intent

to cure it by the administration of laxations, calculated to overfrower the disease, and thereby bring nature into its proper state. Of the effect of such treatment, and of the reactive effect of nature we have already spoken. But the Homespathist would exhibit an astringent - though the doses would of a Homespathic sive and preparation - and depend entirely whon reaction to remove the disease. And this leads to the question, what sixed doses are beat adapted to produce speedy and efficient reac--tion? Certainly large mes are not, as they would aggranate the disease by their firmary effect. Consequently a dose is required not large enough to aggravale the disease by its primary

effect, but sufficiently large to excite mature to react. It may be said, a dose of any size which will not produce a primary, will not a secon dary effect. True the one must necessarily follow the other, but the aggravation from the first effect is so slight as hardly to be spercep tible, but reaction is palpable and lasting. No new proof is necessary to enforce the truth of this fact, for experience is constant--ly dving it. Oxperience must seach us what the required size of dose must be. It does leach no. And here is a fruith point of differing between the two Schools, Homeopathie doses being very essentially smaller than Allofastic.

But in regard to this from very errone one aprinions have been formed. The opponents of the law Simila Similabus Curantur, say that all doses, to come within its pale, much be of a class which they please to term infinitesimals. This is a very great mistake. In some cases where there is but little action ity of the agatem, doses of a larger sixe are regnized. In all cases should reference he had to the reactive state of nature, and densibility of the fratient, and not so whether they will come under the head of Infinitesimals or Inhetantials.

in regard to the manner of firefring medicines. Allofathic medicines being given in rarge roses, are also given in

a very gross and wnottennated form. Unlike this Homespathic remedies are prepared by miling a small part of the dry, after it has been most thoroughly cleansed, with a much larger part of some mon medicinal substance and mixing them until they become one homogeneous mess. In this man--mer a certain amount of medicine is brought to occupy a much larger space, and by its particles being so minutely divided and (if I may use the seem) etherealised, it is thereby when taken brought into more in - mediale contact with the surface of the stomach, and much more readily taken up by the absorbents and conwiged to the diseased fourt. One argument which the spipments

of Hamesfathy present as very conclusive proof of the inefficiency of its rem--edies, is, that they are able, (in a state of health) to take a considerable quartity of the medicine without producing any perceptable effect. But this may be invalidated by simply comparing it with other, similar cases. The human system is much more ansceptable of impressions when diseased than it is when well. Most persons can apply spirits of ourfentine to the healthy surface without any sense of insonvenience, but if splied to a portion highly inflamed a severe smarting pain is immediately produced. One of your hands being barned, hold them both to the fire, but it such a distance

that the well one will not be uncomfortably warm. The one previously surved you will immediately draw back in frain, while the well one may be placed still nearer the fire without producing any uneasy sensation. The same firmcifle is applicable to medicines taken internal - by. I person may take with impumity, when in health, a quantity of medicine which if taken when ill, would produce a very decided effect. Then how abound the argument that Hamerfathic medicine cannot make a dick man well, because it does not make a well man sick. Sight. In the treatment of break diseases, Homespathie medication is of a mature to effect only the part

diseased. This as we have already shown is much more susceptable of effect than any other part; and it is awing to this morbid susceptibility, that the efficacy of the medicine is expend ed on this part, and not on the system generally. But from the amount of medicine given in Allopath--ic treatment, nature has to field to its effect, and a kind of drug disease be produced throughout the system. Seventh. The former does not leave the system seduced after removing the disease, while the latter does. Elesons whom being relieved by He omerfathire remedies, and before become ing acquainted with the manner of their affecting the system, can hardly be made to believe that it was the

medicine that produced the effect, and not that it happened by mere chance. To long has the drugging dystem prevailed, that it has become a settled opinion, that the sick must be made sicker before they can be cured. That is, that they must be reduced by venesection, purging, vomiting, or in some other way, as the result of effect tive doses, before a restoration to health can be effected. But, thanks to this age of liberal thinking, the practice of medicine is not always to remain beclouded by Ogyptian darkness. A brighter day is dawning and already do we feel its salutary effect. The ancient, though ill founded Structure of Medicine is being rebuilt, and erected upon a foundation of

principles truly scientific. Hahnemann has laid the chief corner stone, and may his Disciples continue to exect its columns, until its altitude shall reach the very heavens. Though many difficulties must be encountred, and mountain obstacles obstruct their way; yet shall these varish as the morning den, and success shall crown their efforts. The Science of Medicine is one of the first importance. When we reflect that it is into the hands of Medical men, the sick trust their lives, we are convinced of the obligations they are under to be well skilled in their profession. To under - stand thoroughly the human system, the numerous ailments to which it

to subject, and the best made of our. No effort should be considered too great, no task too laborius, by which they may enrich their store of knowledge, and promote their capability in allewiating human suffering. Aside from the Oleryy, there is mo class of men whose usefulness may be as great as that of Thysicians, and if they rightly employ the power they prossess, Humanity will never cease to bless them, and they will have the assurance that they have not labored in vain.